



# **Approximating genomic reliabilities for national genomic evaluation**

The Working Group **Genomic Reliability Calculation**

Z. Liu, P. M. VanRaden, M. Lidauer, M. P. Calus, H. Benhajali, H. Jorjani, V. Ducrocq



# Overview

- Current status of genomic reliabilities
- New solutions to GREL calculation
- A standardized method
- Implementation issues
- Future steps
- Usage of the software `snp_blup_rel`



# Introduction

- Interbull introduced standardized procedures for calculating conventional EDC (2001)
  - Though the total reliabilities of EBV not fully harmonized
- Genomic reliabilities **less comparable** across countries
  - Lack of standard calculation procedure
  - Differences in GREL methods between countries
- GREL must be **consistent** with conventional REL
  - Between conventional and genomic evaluations
  - Animals in different life times: candidates, getting own phenotypes, entering reference population



## Previous activities of GREL WG

- Interbull GREL Working Group established (2014)
- Two reports presented by Bevin Harris
  - Workshop in Verden, Feb 2015
  - Annual meeting in Orlando, July 2015
- Investigation on validation  $R^2$  value and genomic reliability via simulation (M. Calus & B. Harris)
  - Conclusions: they are two different measures of accuracy of genomic prediction
  - As the validation  $R^2$  increases, the difference between  $R^2$  and genomic reliabilities reduces



## New mission of GREL WG

- To develop standard procedures for approximating GREL for national genomic evaluation
  - Comparable GREL between countries
  - Consistent with conventional reliabilities
- Desired features of the standardized procedure
  - Account for residual polygenic effect
  - Feasible for any number of genotyped animals
  - Applicable to single-step genomic models
  - Efficient for frequent genomic evaluation
  - Consistent with the genomic validation  $R^2$





## Currently used GREL methods

- For multi-step genomic models
  - Harris and Johnson, 2010, JDS
  - Lidauer et al. 2016, GREL WG & EuroGenetics meetings
  - VanRaden et al. 2011, GSE
  - and other GREL methods
- For single-step genomic models
  - Misztal et al. 2013, JDS
  - Taskinen et al. 2013, Interbull Bulletin



# Bottleneck and Solutions

- Bottleneck of GREML calculation: inversion of large genomic relationship matrix **G**
  - Liu et al. (2010) & Wiggans et al. (2010): approximation of DGV reliabilities for candidates
  - APY algorithm (Miszta et al. 2015)
- Calculating exact reliabilities of DGV for genotyped animals via **snp\_blup\_rel** (Mäntysaari & Strandén 2016)
  - Invert matrices using very efficient BLAS subroutines by parallel computing on multiple cores
  - No residual polygenic effect in the **SNP BLUP model**
  - Only # SNP matters, **NOT** ~~# reference/genotyped animals~~



## GREL WG activities

- GREL WG video conferences (in addition to emails)
  - 07 October 2016
    - 05 Oct. 2016 with Bevin for transition
  - 27 March 2017
  - 12 June 2017
- Adjusting theoretical genomic reliabilities using data from genomic validation (VanRaden, 2017)
  - GREL changes correspond to GEBV changes
  - Use **GEBV Test** data as candidates and AI bulls with daughters





# Information sources for reliabilities

- Information sources for conventional evaluation
  - Own data, progeny and parental contributions
- Information source method or EDC or daughter equivalent methods used for REL calculation
- **Genomic contribution** (single-step genomic BLUP model)

$$\mathbf{H}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{11} & \mathbf{A}^{12} \\ \mathbf{A}^{21} & \mathbf{G}^{-1} + \mathbf{A}^{22} - \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{G}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$



# Calculating genomic contribution

- Reliability values of DGV for all genotyped animals
  - Using software **snp\_blup\_rel**

- For all genotyped animals, equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + \sigma_g^{-2}\mathbf{G}^{-1}$$

only reference animals  
provide phenotype data

- Conventional reliabilities for the genotyped animals

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} + \sigma_g^{-2}\mathbf{A}_{22}^{-1}$$

without inverting  $\mathbf{A}_{22}$

- Pure genomic EDC gain:

$$\varphi = \lambda \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{DGV}}{1 - \mathfrak{R}_{DGV}} - \lambda \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{A22}}{1 - \mathfrak{R}_{A22}}$$



# Steps of the new GREL method (I)

- 1. Reliabilities of SNP markers,  $REL_{SNP}$ , via [snp\\_blup\\_rel](#)
  - Assumption: SNP markers explain all genetic variation
- 2. Reliabilities of direct genomic values (DGV)
  - Proportion of residual polygenic variance ( $k$ )
  - Accuracy of imputation ( $r_{IMP}$ ): preferably allele dosage

$$REL_{DGV} = (1 - k) * r_{IMP}^2 * REL_{SNP}$$

- For reference animals

$$REL_{DGV} = r_{IMP}^2 * REL_{SNP}$$

- EDC of DGV for a genotyped animal:

$$EDC_{DGV} = \lambda_4 REL_{DGV} / (1 - REL_{DGV})$$

$$\text{where } \lambda_4 = (4 - h^2) / h^2$$



## Steps of the new GREL method (II)

- 3. Adjusting to realized reliabilities of DGV

$$\text{EDC}_{\text{DGV}}^{\text{real}} = s * \text{EDC}_{\text{DGV}}$$

- **A constant** EDC adjustment factor determined by realized GEBV variations via **GEBV Test**

- 4. Genomic EDC gain (**G-A<sub>22</sub>**) for each genotyped animal

- Calculate reliabilities  $\text{REL}_{\text{A22}}$  as in conventional evaluation
- Only reference animals provide phenotypes

$$\text{EDC}_{\text{A22}} = \lambda_4 \text{REL}_{\text{A22}} / (1 - \text{REL}_{\text{A22}})$$

- Genomic EDC gain for a genotyped animal

$$\text{EDC}_{\text{gain}} = \text{EDC}_{\text{DGV}}^{\text{real}} - \text{EDC}_{\text{A22}}$$

$$\text{EDC}_{\text{gain}} = 0, \text{ if } \text{EDC}_{\text{gain}} < 0$$





## Steps of the new GREL method (III)

- 5. (**Optional**) Propagation to non-genotyped relatives
  - Involving potentially tens of millions of animals
  - $EDC_{\text{gain}}$  of **only reference animals** as data for propagation
  - In 2 directions of pedigree for progeny & parental contributions

$$EDC_{\text{Tgain}} = \lambda_4 \text{REL}_{\text{prog}} / (1 - \text{REL}_{\text{prog}})$$

- As propagation does not account for LD break-down

$$EDC_{\text{Tgain}} \leq \max(EDC_{\text{gain}} \text{ of candidates})$$

- **For all genotyped animals set:**

$$EDC_{\text{Tgain}} = EDC_{\text{gain}} \text{ from Step 4}$$





## Steps of the new GREL method (IV)

- 6. Final reliabilities enhanced with genomic information
  - Total conventional reliability by phenotype data and pedigree
  - Calculated from a single-step model or a conventional model

$$EDC_{CONV} = \lambda_4 REL_{CONV} / (1 - REL_{CONV})$$

- Final EDC of the animal

$$EDC_{final} = EDC_{CONV} + EDC_{Tgain}$$

- Final reliability enhanced with genomic information

$$GREL_{final} = EDC_{final} / (EDC_{final} + \lambda_4)$$



# Adjusting genomic reliabilities

- GEBV differences btw 2 evaluations (VanRaden, 2017)
- Use **validated data** from Interbull's **GEBV Test**
- Calculate using the standardized method
  - GRELEarly for an early, truncated evaluation
  - GRELlater for a later, complete evaluation
- Expected change in genomic reliabilities (**a constant**)

$$\exp(\text{GREL\_chng}) = \frac{\text{Var}(\text{GEBV}_{\text{later}} - \text{GEBV}_{\text{early}})}{\text{Var}(BV)}$$

- Expected average reliability in the early evaluation

$$\exp(\text{GREL}_{\text{early}}) = \text{avg}(\text{GREL}_{\text{later}}) - \exp(\text{GREL\_chng})$$



# Adjusting genomic reliabilities

- Convert genomic reliabilities of early evaluation to EDC

$$\text{avg}(\text{EDC}_{\text{early}}) = \lambda_4 \text{avg}(\text{GREL}_{\text{early}} / (1 - \text{GREL}_{\text{early}}))$$

$$\text{exp}(\text{EDC}_{\text{early}}) = \lambda_4 \text{exp}(\text{GREL}_{\text{early}}) / (1 - \text{exp}(\text{GREL}_{\text{early}}))$$

- Calculate adjustment factor in genomic EDC

$$f = \text{exp}(\text{EDC}_{\text{early}}) / \text{avg}(\text{EDC}_{\text{early}})$$

- $f < 1$  ( $> 1$ ) indicates over- (under)estimated GREL
- Applicable to any two evaluations, as long as GEBV are validated via **GEBV Test**



## Implementation issues (I)

- Allele frequencies of SNP markers
  - Estimates of base population (Gengler 2007)
  - 0.5 for all SNP markers
    - Too low  $REL_{SNP}$  for some reference bulls with extreme diagonals of  $\mathbf{G}$  matrix (not blended with  $\mathbf{A}_{22}$ )
  - Frequencies of current population
    - Reference animals or all genotyped animals
  - **Recommendation: use allele frequencies of the current population of ALL genotyped animals**
- Conventional reliability  $REL_{A22}$  for genotyped animals
  - Data from reference pop., progeny and parental contributions





## Implementation issues (II)

- Frequencies of calculation of  $REL_{SNP}$ 
  - $REL_{SNP}$  most time-consuming
  - MACE/national evaluation → invert LHS (`snp_blup_rel`) &  $REL_{SNP}$  calculation for all genotyped animals
  - Monthly / weekly genomic evaluation → only for new candidates
  - Simplification for just-in-time continuous genomic evaluation (Alkhoder et al. 2014)
- Frequencies of updating GREL adjustment factor
  - Same as GEBV Test





# Test application to German Holsteins

- Genotype & phenotype data from May 2017 evaluation
  - 35,533 EuroGenomics Holstein reference bulls
  - 314,608 genotyped animals & 45,613 SNP markers
- Computing resources used for running `snp_blup_rel`
  - Step 1: inverting MME using reference animals
    - Total clock time c.a. 60 minutes on 10 cores
    - Peak RAM c.a. 38 Gb
  - Step 2: calculating  $REL_{SNP}$  for all genotyped animals
    - Total clock time c.a. 82 minutes on 10 cores
    - Peak RAM c.a. 121 Gb (RAM intensive option)

Intel Xeon CPU E5-2690 v2 @ 3.00GHz



## Test application: a validation study

- Phenotypes from April 2017 MACE evaluation
- Genotypes from Apr 17 DEU HOL genomic evaluation
- 35,533 EuroGenomics reference bulls
  - 31,428 Holstein bulls born before 2010
  - 894 DEU bulls born in 2010 to 2012 as validation bulls
- Interbull GEBV Test for all traits
  - **GEBV<sub>early</sub>** from the truncated and **GEBV<sub>later</sub>** from the full evaluations are validated
- GREL calculation for the two evaluations: **GREL<sub>early</sub>** for the truncated and **GREL<sub>later</sub>** for the full evaluation



## Further development

- Second- or third-generation candidates
  - Use **validated** GREL of 1<sup>st</sup> generation from a later eval.
- Shrinkage factor (regression coefficient  $b_1$ ) of DGV
- Multi-trait genomic models
  - Same as for conventional evaluation



# Verification and Validation

- Accuracy of the new GREL method
  - Reliability calculation by matrix inversion
- Comparison to the other GREL methods
- True reliabilities from the previous simulation studies
- **YOU ARE INVITED TO DO THE VALIDATION AND COMPARISON!**



## Next steps

- Countries to test the `snp_blup_rel` software
- Countries to test the new GREL method
- Country feedback for fine-tuning & further development
  - `snp_blup_rel` and the new GREL method
- Official implementation by all NGECS





## Summary

- **snp\_blup\_rel** an efficient tool for  $REL_{SNP}$  calculation in an unified way across countries
- Limiting factor for  $REL_{SNP}$  calculation is # of SNPs, no longer # reference/genotyped animals
- The GREL method makes GREL comparable across countries & consistent with conventional REL
- The adjustment to realized reliability ensures GREL changes corresponding to GEBV changes
- The new GREL method is efficient and feasible for any number of genotyped animals
- Verification and validation are needed



## Use of the software `snp_blup_rel`

- Developed and kindly provided by LUKE, Finland
- NGECS with national genomic evaluation received a copy of software `snp_blup_rel` on 29.03.2017
- Ensures that all countries calculate DGV reliabilities in the same way
- Verified to give equal results with own programs
- `snp_blup_rel` is very efficient with many options
- **NGECs must not use it for other purposes than just the DGV reliability calculation!**
- **NGECs must not distribute it to any other institutions!**



# Acknowledgements

- Bevin Harris, former convener of the GREL WG
- Interbull Centre
- Esa Mäntysaari and Ismo Strandén, LUKE, Finland