

# Modelling metabolic efficiency

## Do we need to understand the biological meaning of residual feed intake breeding values?

*M.H. Lidauer<sup>1</sup>, T. Andersen<sup>2</sup>, U.S. Nielsen<sup>2</sup>, E.A. Mäntysaari<sup>1\*</sup>,  
G.P. Aamand<sup>3</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Jokioinen, Finland

<sup>2</sup>SEGES Innovation P/S, Aarhus N, Denmark

<sup>3</sup>Nordic Cattle Genetic Evaluation, Aarhus N, Denmark

# Residual feed intake (RFI)

- Models by its definition (**intake** – **expected intake**) the metabolic efficiency in a broad sense that captures variation due to:
  - ability to digesting feed
  - ability to have low energy loss through CH<sub>4</sub> exhalation
  - ability to utilize metabolizable energy for the different energy pathways
- **intake**: usually measurements for dry matter intake
- **expected intake**: through modelling of intake requirements for energy sinks (usually by partial regression analyses)

# Residual feed intake for Nordic Total Merit Index

- Implemented into Nordic Total Merit in 2020
- Since then, more data is added (CFIT data)
- Along this we have recognized:
  - Partial regression coefficients may vary significantly across time and parities
  - For both CFIT data and research farm data

# Aim of the study

Attempt to understand metabolic efficiency

## Objective

Study different modelling approaches

# Data from 4 herds with CFIT feed intake

- 46,822 weekly records
- 1<sup>st</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> parity
- 1,211 Jersey cows with records
- Years: 2019, 2020, 2021

## Means of daily observations

	First parity	Later parities
N	18,221	28,588
DMI	21.3	24.5
MBW	95.0	103.3
ECM	28.9	37.1
MY	22.0	28.5
FY	1.3	1.7
PY	1.0	1.2

DMI = dry matter intake [kg]

MBW = metabolic body weight [kg<sup>0.75</sup>]

ECM = energy corrected milk [kg]

MY = milk yield [kg]

FY = fat yield [kg]

PY = protein yield [kg]

# A: Currently used RFI model (RFI\_partial\_regressions)

## First step:

a) partial regression analyses of dry matter intake (DMI)

$$\text{DMI} = c_1 \times A + c_2 \times A^2 + \text{LP} + \text{LYS} + \text{HYS} + \gamma_1 \times \text{ECM} + \gamma_2 \times \text{MBW} + \gamma_3 \times \Delta\text{BW} + \text{rfi}$$

where

- partial regressions nested within LP classes
- A = calving age
- LP = lactation month x parity classes
- LYS = lactation x year x season
- HYS = herd x year x season

b) raw **rfi** values adjusted for heterogeneous variance

c) RFI observation = **rfi\*** + estimates for LYS and HYS

# A: Currently used RFI model (RFI\_partial\_regressions)

## Second step:

estimating breeding values by a repeatability animal model:

$$\text{RFI} = \text{LYS} + \text{HYS} + \text{pe} + \text{a} + \text{e}$$

where

- LYS = lactation x year x season
- HYS = herd x year x season
- pe = random permanent environmental effect
- a = random additive genetic effect
- e = random residual

## B: Regression on requirements (RFI\_reg\_on\_requirement)

- Same as approach A but step 1 model was modified:

$$\text{DMI} = c_1 \times A + c_2 \times A^2 + \text{LP} + \text{LYS} + \text{HYS} + \varphi \times \text{eDMI} + \text{rfi}$$

regression on expected dry matter intake (eDMI) instead of partial regressions

- eDMI: firstly calculating energy requirements (ER) in mega joule (MJ):

$$\text{ER [MJ/day]} = 4.81 \times \text{ECM} + 0.603 \times \text{MBW} - 27.6 \times \text{BW\_Loss} + 38.3 \times \text{BW\_Gain}$$

(based on Agnew et al., 2003)

and subsequently:

$$\text{eDMI} = \text{ER} \times \text{average of [DMI kg / (MJ/day)]}$$



## C: Requirements RFI (RFI\_requirements)

- Same as approach A but the raw **rfi** observations in step 1 were calculated as:  
$$\text{rfi} = \text{DMI} - \text{eDMI}$$

## D: Regression on expected feed intake (ReFI)

- A different approach where feed intake is regressed on expected feed intake
- Covariables for expected feed intake are calculated using energy requirement estimates from dairy cow nutrition studies
- Allows to model metabolic efficiency from a biological perspective

## D: Regression on expected feed intake (ReFI)

- DMI was modelled by a random regression model:

$$\text{DMI} = \beta \times \text{eDMI} + \eta \times \text{eDMI} + \psi \times \text{eDMI} + \alpha \times \text{eDMI} + \varepsilon$$

with fixed regression coefficients

$\beta$  nested within herd  $\times$  year  $\times$  parity classes

and random regression coefficients

$\eta$  nested within herd  $\times$  year  $\times$  month classes

$\psi$  nested within animal permanent environmental effect

$\alpha$  nested within additive genetic animal effect

# Results

- Variance components for different approaches
- Genetic correlations between metabolic efficiency and yield traits  
(multivariate analyses of first parity yield deviations)
- Quick look on phenotype means of 10% genetically superior cows  
(cows selected alternatively based on the 4 different sets of EBV)

## Results: Estimates of regression coefficients

Covariables	RFI_partial_regressions			RFI_regression_on _requirement	ReFI
	ECM	MBW	$\Delta$ BW	eDMI	eDMI
Coefficient	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$	$\gamma_3$	$\varphi$	$\beta$
First parity estimates	0.31	0.159	-0.28	0.46	1.04
Later parities estimates	0.18	0.196	-0.24	0.32	1.01
Biological expectation	0.49	0.062	3.91	1.00	1.00

# Results: Heritability and genetic correlations with yield traits

Approach	h <sup>2</sup>	Genetic correlations to:		
		Milk yield	Fat yield	Protein yield
RFI_partial_regressions	0.14	0.47	0.17	0.31
RFI_regression_on_requirement	0.16	0.43	0.24	0.30
RFI_requirement	0.10	-0.01	-0.20	-0.16
ReFI	0.10	0.02	-0.28	-0.10

positive correlation: unfavourable  
negative correlation: favourable

# Results: Phenotypic means of superior cows

10% best cows based on the EBV by different approaches

Approach		DMI	MBW	ECM	MY	FY	PY	FCE
All cows		21.2	94.9	28.7	21.8	1.30	0.946	<b>1.35</b>
10% best cows	RFI_partial_regressions	18.6	94.7	26.3	18.8	1.22	0.860	<b>1.41</b>
	RFI_regression_on_requirement	18.4	92.8	25.3	18.3	1.17	0.833	<b>1.38</b>
	RFI_requirement	19.9	93.1	29.1	21.5	1.34	0.966	<b>1.46</b>
	ReFI	19.8	93.9	29.8	22.0	1.37	0.982	<b>1.51</b>

DMI = dry matter intake [kg]

MBW = metabolic body weight [kg<sup>0.75</sup>]

ECM = energy corrected milk [kg]

MY = milk yield [kg]

FY = fat yield [kg]

PY = protein yield [kg]

FCE = ECM/DMI

# Conclusions

- Currently used RFI model favours cows with low production
- ReFI (regression on expected feed intake) favours cows with high production and high efficiency
- Poor performance of the RFI model was due to the inability of the RFI model to properly estimate the partial regression coefficients for the energy sinks



# Thank you!

Innovation Fund Denmark

